



Section 1 – General questions

第一部分：一般问题

1. This methodology seeks to provide a reliable measure of physical market value. How well do you think the ICIS methodology achieves this aim?

本估价方法论力求提供一个可靠的现货市场价值测量标准。您觉得安迅思估价方法论在多大程度上达到了这一目标？

2. Are there alternative methodologies you would like ICIS to consider? If so, please describe these methodologies and their strengths and weaknesses as mechanisms for measuring physical market value. Please explain their strengths and weaknesses relative to current ICIS methodology.

您觉得安迅思还需要考虑其他估价方法论吗？如果您觉得需要，请描述这些估价方法论和这些估价方法论作为现货市场价值测量机制的优缺点。请与目前的安迅思估价方法论进行比较，详细列出其他估价方法论的优缺点。

3. How well do the ICIS prices published in this report serve your business? Are there additional prices you would like to see?

本报告中的安迅思价格在多大程度上对您的业务有帮助？您还想知道其他价格吗？

4. Is there any other information that you believe would be helpful to ICIS in its review of this methodology? If so, please give details.

您觉得还有哪些信息可以帮助安迅思审查这些估价方法论？如果有，请提供一些细节。

Questions specific to Phenol Markets:

有关苯酚市场的问题：

5. Some market participants have proposed that changes should be made to the CFR China Main Port spot price assessment.

一些市场人士提议改进 CFR 中国主港现货估价。

- A. Should ICIS stop normalising the CFR China Main Port spot prices? Yes/No

您同意安迅思停止对 CFR 中国主港现货价格的一致化吗？

- B. For CFR China Main Port, should ICIS keep the current normalised price assessment for CFR China Main Port spot prices, while adding a new de-normalised assessment for CFR China Main Port spot prices, so there are two CFR China Main Port assessments? Yes/No

您同意安迅思保留一致化的 CFR 中国主港现货估价，同时增加非一致化的新 CFR 中国主港现货估价吗？☒同意/☐不同意

6. Some market participants have proposed the introduction of a new assessment that tracks the US dollar-denominated, duty-exempt phenol prices in the domestic market, also commonly known as the “re-export” or “bonded” sector. This price is currently being reported weekly in the Phenol commentary.

一些市场人士提议引进新评估，以跟踪国内市场的美金免税苯酚价格，即通常所说的“转口”或“保税”价格。目前这些价格涵盖在周度苯酚评论中。

Should ICIS add a new US dollar-denominated domestic bonded phenol price assessment?

☒Yes/☐No

您同意安迅思增加新的美金国内保税苯酚估价吗？☒同意/☐不同意

7. Some market participants have proposed the inclusion of the existing CFR China Main Port quote in a broader CFR Northeast Asia (CFR NE Asia) assessment.

一些市场人士提议将现有 CFR 中国主港报价纳入到覆盖面更广的 CFR 东北亚估价中。

Should ICIS delete the existing CFR China Main Port quote, and replace this with a new CFR NE Asia assessment that includes spot prices into China, Japan, South Korea and Taiwan?

Yes/☒No

您同意安迅思把现有的 CFR 中国主港报价换成涵盖中国、日本、韩国和台湾现货价格的新 CFR 东北亚估价吗？☒同意/☐不同意

8. Some market participants have proposed the introduction of a new assessment that tracks spot phenol exports from South Korea and Taiwan on a free-on-board (FOB) basis to non-China markets. This will be identified as a FOB northeast Asia (FOB N.E. Asia) assessment.

一些市场人士提议引入新估价，以跟踪出口至中国以外市场的韩国和台湾的苯酚现货 FOB 价格。该价格将被定为 FOB 东北亚估价。

Should ICIS introduce a new FOB NE Asia assessment? ☒Yes/☐No

您同意安迅思引入新 FOB 东北亚估价吗？☒同意/☐不同意

9. Do you find the current price range for Asia Phenol assessment too wide? If so, would publishing a mid-point be better or are there alternatives you would like to see?

您认为目前的亚洲苯酚估价范围过广吗？如果是，那么您觉得发布一个中间估价是否会好些呢，或者您有其他更好的想法？

Yes too wide / No it is not too wide / Yes publish a mid-point

是，范围过广/不，没有那么广/☒同意发布一个中点价格

The following question is related to the India sub-section of the Phenol report.